

# On the arithmetical density of the sum of two sequences one of which forms a basis for the integers.

By

P. Erdős (Manchester).

---

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  be any given sequence of positive steadily increasing integers and suppose there are  $x=f(n)$  of them not exceeding a number  $n$ , so that

$$a_x \leq n < a_{x+1}.$$

The density  $\delta$  of the sequence is defined by Schnirelmann as the lower bound of the numbers  $f(n)/n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Thus if  $a_1 \neq 1$ ,  $\delta=0$ .

Clearly  $f(n) \geq \delta n$ .

Suppose also that the steadily increasing set

$$A_0=0, A_1, A_2, \dots$$

forms a basis of order  $l$  of the positive integers. This means that every positive integer can be expressed as the sum of at most  $l$  of the  $A$ 's. I prove the following

**Theorem:** *If  $\delta'$  is the density of the sequence  $a+A$ , i. e. of the integers which can be expressed as the sum of an  $a$  and an  $A$ , then*

$$\delta' \geq \delta + \frac{\delta(1-\delta)}{2l}.$$

Particular cases of this theorem have been proved by Khintchine and Buchstab in an entirely different and more complicated way.

I prove my theorem as a particular case of a more general one. Let the positive integers  $\leq n$  not included among the  $a$ 's be denoted by  $b_1, b_2, \dots$  and let

$$b_y \leq n < b_{y+1}.$$

Put

$$E = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_y - \frac{1}{2}y(y+1).$$

Clearly  $E \geq 0$ , since  $b_1 \geq 1, b_2 \geq 2$  etc. Then there exist at least  $x + \frac{E}{ln}$  integers  $\leq n$  of the form  $a + A$ , where in fact we need only use  $A=0$  and a single other  $A$ . This theorem is deduced from the one that there are at least  $\frac{E}{ln}$  of the  $b$ 's  $\leq n$  which can be represented in the form  $a + A$ , and in fact only a single  $A$  is used.

We require the

*Lemma: An integer  $J > 0$  exists such that there are at least  $\frac{E}{n}$  of the  $b$ 's among the integers  $\leq n$  in the set  $a_1 + J, a_2 + J, \dots$ .*

For the number of solutions of the equation

$$a + v = b$$

in positive integers  $v$  and  $a$ 's,  $b$ 's  $\leq n$  is  $E$ . Thus for given  $b = b_r$ , there are  $b_r - r$  solutions since the number of  $a$ 's  $< b_r$  is clearly  $b_r - r$  and every such  $a$  gives a solution  $v$ . Hence summing for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, y$ , the total number of solutions is

$$E = \sum_{r=1}^y (b_r - r).$$

But there are at most  $n$  possible values of  $v$ , namely  $1, 2, \dots, n$  and so for at least one value of  $v$ , say  $J$ , there are not less than  $\frac{E}{n}$  solutions of  $a + J = b$  in  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's not greater than  $n$ .

Now express  $J$  as a sum of exactly  $l$   $A$ 's, say

$$J = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_l,$$

by including a sufficient number of  $A_0$ 's among the  $A$ 's if need be and where  $A_1$  need not denote the first,  $A_2$  the second etc.

Denote by  $\mu_s$  the number of  $b$ 's in the set  $a + A_s$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .  
I prove now that

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_l \geq \frac{E}{n}.$$

For in the set of integers given by

$$a + A_1 + A_2,$$

there are at most  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$  of the  $b$ 's. Thus the set  $a + A_1$  contains  $\mu_1$  of the  $b$ 's together with some  $a$ 's. When we add  $A_2$  to the numbers of the set  $a + A_1$ , the  $\mu_1$   $b$ 's give at most  $\mu_1$   $b$ 's, while the  $a$ 's give at most  $\mu_2$   $b$ 's. Now take the set  $a + A_1 + A_2 + A_3$ . This contains at most  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$  of the  $b$ 's by precisely the same argument applied to the sum of  $a + A_1 + A_2$  and  $A_3$ . Similarly the set  $a + A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_l$ , i. e.  $a + J$  will contain at most  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_l$  of the  $b$ 's. But since the set  $a + J$  contained at least  $\frac{E}{n}$  of the  $b$ 's, clearly one of the

$\mu$ 's say  $\mu_k \geq \frac{E}{ln}$ , and so the set  $a + A_k$  contains at least  $\frac{E}{ln}$  of the  $b$ 's  $\leq n$ .

Now the set  $a + A_0$ , since  $A_0 = 0$ , consists of exactly the  $x$   $a$ 's. Hence the set  $a + A$  including  $A_k = 0$  contains at least  $x + \frac{E}{ln}$  different integers  $\leq n$ .

Suppose now the  $a$ 's have a density  $\delta$  with  $\delta < 1$  which is no loss of generality. We have  $f(b_r) \geq \delta b_r$  hence  $b_r - r = f(b_r) \geq \delta b_r$ ,  $b_r \geq \frac{r}{1-\delta}$ , and therefore

$$E \geq \frac{1+2+\dots+y}{1-\delta} - \frac{y(y+1)}{2} \geq \frac{\delta}{2(1-\delta)} y(y+1).$$

Hence for the number  $N$  of integers  $\leq n$  in the set  $a + A$

$$N \geq x + \frac{\delta}{2(1-\delta)} \frac{y^2}{ln} = \varphi(x) \quad (y = n - x),$$

say. For  $x \geq \delta n$

$$\varphi'(x) = 1 - \frac{\delta}{2(1-\delta)} \frac{2(n-x)}{ln} \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{l} > 0,$$

$$i. e. \quad N \geq \varphi(x) \geq \varphi(\delta n) = \delta n + \frac{\delta}{2(1-\delta)} \frac{(1-\delta)^2 n^2}{ln},$$

hence 
$$N \geq n \left( \delta + \frac{\delta(1-\delta)}{2l} \right),$$

and this is the theorem.

I can prove in the same way that if a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  is given and there are  $f(n)$  of the  $a$ 's not exceeding  $n$ , then in the set  $|a \pm A|$ , there are at least

$$f(n) + \frac{f(n)(n-f(n))}{2l}$$

numbers not exceeding  $n$ .

Before closing my paper I would express my sincere gratitude to Prof. L. J. Mordell for having so kindly helped me with my ms.

(Received 11 March, 1935.)

---