

ON SOME ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAS IN THE THEORY OF PARTITIONS

PAUL ERDÖS

Let $p(n)$ denote the number of unrestricted partitions of n . $p_k(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of n into precisely k summands, or what is the same into partitions whose largest summand is k . Auluck, Chowla and Gupta¹ announced the following conjecture:

For n fixed let $p_{k_0}(n)$ be the greatest $p_k(n)$; that is, $p_{k_0}(n) \geq p_k(n)$. Then

$$(1) \quad k_0 \sim c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n, \quad c = \pi(2/3)^{1/2}.$$

They prove that

$$n^{1/2} < k_0 < (1 + \delta)c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n$$

for every $\delta > 0$ if n is sufficiently large.

In the present note we shall prove (1). In fact we shall prove that

$$(2) \quad k_0 = c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n + an^{1/2} + o(n^{1/2}) \quad \text{where} \quad c/2 = e^{-ca/2}.$$

They also conjectured that for $k_1 < k_2 \leq k_0$, $p_{k_1}(n) \leq p_{k_2}(n)$ and for $k_0 < k_1 < k_2$, $p_{k_1}(n) < p_{k_2}(n)$. They verify this conjecture for $n \leq 32$. Recently Todd² published a table of all the $p_k(n)$ for $n \leq 100$, and it is easy to verify the conjecture for $n \leq 100$. I am unable to prove or disprove this conjecture. They also remark that $p_{k_0}(n)$ differs from $c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n$ by less than 1 for $n \leq 32$; (2) shows that for large n the difference tends to infinity.

Lehner and I³ proved that if we denote

$$P_k(n) = \sum_{r \leq k} p_r(n)$$

then for $k = c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n + \lambda n^{1/2}$ we have the asymptotic formula

$$(3) \quad P_k(n)/p(n) = (1 + o(1)) \exp(- (2/c)e^{-cz/2}).$$

In proving (2) we shall use (3) a great deal, we shall also use the well known asymptotic formula

$$(4) \quad p(n) = (1 + o(1))(1/4 \cdot 3^{1/2}n) \exp(cn^{1/2}).$$

Received by the editors March 12, 1945.

¹ J. Indian Math. Soc. vol. 6 (1942) pp. 105-112.

² Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 48 (1944) pp. 229-242.

³ Duke Math. J. vol. 8 (1941) pp. 335-345.

Let $f(n)$ tend to infinity arbitrarily slowly; we easily obtain from (3) that for $k_1 = [c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n + f(n)n^{1/2}]$, $k_2 = [c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n - f(n)n^{1/2}]$,

$$(5) \quad (1/p(n))(P_{k_1}(n) - P_{k_2}(n)) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We immediately obtain from (4) and (5) that for some $k_2 < k_3 < k_1$

$$(6) \quad p_{k_3}(n) > c_1 p(n)/n^{1/2} > (c_2/n^{3/2}) \exp(cn^{1/2}).$$

c_1, c_2, \dots denote absolute constants. Thus

$$(7) \quad p_{k_0}(n) \geq p_{k_3}(n) > (c_2/n^{3/2}) \exp(cn^{1/2}).$$

Now we show that for sufficiently large c_3

$$(8) \quad k_0 < c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n + c_3 n^{1/2}.$$

Let $k_4 \geq c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n + c_3 n^{1/2}$. It clearly follows from the definition of $p_k(n)$ and $P_k(n)$ that $p_{k_4}(n) = P_{k_4}(n - k_4) < p(n - k_4)$. Thus from (4)

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k_4}(n) &< (c_4/n) \exp(c(n - k_4)^{1/2}) < (c_4/n) \exp(c(n^{1/2} - k_4/2n^{1/2})) \\ &< (c_4/n) \exp(c(n^{1/2} - \log n/2 - c_3/2)) \\ &< (c_2/n^{3/2}) \exp(cn^{1/2}) < p_{k_0}(n) \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large c_3 , and this proves (8).

Next we prove that for sufficiently large c_5

$$(9) \quad k_0 > c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n - c_5 n^{1/2}.$$

Suppose (9) does not hold. We obtain from (7) that for some $k_0 < c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n - c_5 n^{1/2}$

$$(10) \quad p_{k_0}(n) > (c_2/n^{3/2}) \exp(cn^{1/2}).$$

We shall show that (10) leads to a contradiction. First we show that

$$(11) \quad p_k(n) \leq p_{k+i}(n+j) \quad \text{for } j \geq i.$$

We have

$$(12) \quad p_k(n) \leq p_{k+i}(n+i) \leq p_{k+i}(n+j).$$

The first inequality of (12) we obtain by mapping the partition $a_1 + \dots + k$ of $p_k(n)$ into $a_1 + \dots + (k+i)$ which belongs to $p_{k+i}(n+i)$, the second part we obtain by adding $j-i$ 1's to every partition of $p_{k+i}(n+i)$; this proves (11).

Put $[n^{1/2}] = b$; we have from (10) and (11) for $0 \leq i \leq b$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k_0+i}(n+b) &\geq p_{k_0}(n) > (c_2/n^{3/2}) \exp(cn^{1/2}) \\ &> (c_5/n^{3/2}) \exp(c(n+b)^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i=0}^b p_{k_0+i}(n+b) > (c_6/n) \exp(c(n+b)^{1/2}).$$

Now we obtain from (5) that for every ϵ and sufficiently large c_6 and n

$$(14) \quad \sum_{k>k_0+b} p_k(n+b) > (1-\epsilon)p(n+b).$$

The proof of (14) follows immediately from the fact that $k_0+b < c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n - (c_6-1)n^{1/2}$, thus (5) can be applied. From (13) and (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(n+b) &> \sum_{i=0}^b p_{k_0+i}(n+b) + \sum_{k>k_0+b} p_k(n+b) \\ &> (1-\epsilon)p(n+b) + (c_6/n) \exp(c(n+b)^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\epsilon p(n+b) > (c_6/n) \exp(c(n+b)^{1/2}),$$

which contradicts (4); this proves (9).

We now know from (8) and (9) that k_0 has to satisfy

$$c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n - c_5 n^{1/2} < k_0 < c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n + c_3 n^{1/2}.$$

Put

$$k_0 = c^{-1}n^{1/2} \log n + x n^{1/2}.$$

We obtain from (3) and (4) that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{k_0}(n) &= P_{k_0}(n - k_0) \\ &= (1 + o(1))p(n)n^{-1/2} \exp(-cx/2 - (2/c) \exp(-cx/2)).^4 \end{aligned}$$

The right side is maximal if $c/2 = \exp(-cx/2)$, which completes the proof of (2).

We immediately obtain from (2) and (15) that

$$\lim p_{k_0}(n)n^{1/2}/p(n) = \exp(-ca/2 - (2/c) \exp(-ax/2)).$$

It would be easy to sharpen the error term $o(n^{1/2})$ in (2) by getting an error term in (3), but it seems very hard to get a sufficiently good inequality to prove the conjecture of Auluck, Chowla and Gupta.

Denote by $Q(n)$ the number of partitions of n into unequal parts. $Q_k(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of n into precisely k unequal parts. Define k_0 by

$$Q_{k_0}(n) \cong Q_k(n).$$

⁴ This formula is due to Auluck, Chowla and Gupta (ibid).

It has been conjectured that for $k_1 < k_2 \leq k_0$, $Q_{k_1}(n) < Q_{k_2}(n)$ and for $k_0 < k_1 < k_2$, $Q_{k_1}(n) \geq Q_{k_2}(n)$. This conjecture we can not decide. But by using Theorem 3.3 of our paper with Lehner we can show that

$$k_0 = 2 \log 2n^{1/2}/\pi(1/3)^{1/2} + dn^{1/4} + o(n^{1/4})$$

for a certain constant d . Also

$$\lim n^{1/4}Q_{k_0}(n)/Q(n) \rightarrow e, \quad \text{for a certain constant } e.$$

We do not discuss the proofs. They are similar but slightly more complicated than the proof of (2).

It would be interesting to get an asymptotic formula for $p_k(n)$ and $Q_k(n)$. Perhaps the first step would be to get an asymptotic formula for $\log p_k(n)$. It is easy to see that for $k = o(n^{1/2})$

$$\log p_k(n) = o(n^{1/2})$$

and if $k/n^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty$

$$\log p_k(n)/\log p(n) \rightarrow 1.$$

The proofs can be obtained easily by simple Tauberian theorems.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN