

ON A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM III

P. Erdős

(received October 16, 1968)

A family of sets  $\{A_\alpha\}$  is said by Miller [3] to have property B if there exists a set S which meets all the sets  $A_\alpha$  and contains none of them. Property B has been extensively studied in several recent papers (see the references in [2] and the last chapter of P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On chromatic number of graphs and set systems, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 17 (1966) 61-99). Hajnal and I define  $m(n)$  as the smallest integer for which there is a family of  $m(n)$  sets  $A_k$ ,  $|A_k| = n$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m(n)$ , which do not have property B [1]. Trivially  $m(n) \leq \binom{2n-1}{n}$  (take all subsets taken  $n$  at a time of a set of  $2n-1$  elements),  $m(2) = 3$ ,  $m(3) = 7$ ,  $m(4)$  is not known. It is known [2], [4] that for  $n > n_0(\epsilon)$

$$(1) \quad 2^n \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right)^{-1} \leq m(n) < (1 + \epsilon) e \log_2 n \cdot 2^{n-2}$$

$m_N(n)$  is the smallest integer for which there are  $m_N(n)$  sets  $A_k$ ,  $|A_k| = n$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m_N(n)$  which are all subsets of a set S,  $|S| = N$  and which do not have property B. I conjectured in [2] that for  $N < c_1 n$ ,  $m_N(n) > (2 + c_2)^n$ . In this note we prove this conjecture and get fairly good upper and lower bounds for  $m_N(n)$ . In fact we prove that if  $N = (c + o(1))n$

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_N(n)^{1/n} = 2(c-2)^{\frac{1}{2}(c-2)}(c-1)^{1-c} c^{\frac{1}{2}c} \text{ for } c > 2 \text{ and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_N(n)^{1/n} = 4 \text{ if } N = (2 + o(1))n. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 1.

$$(3) \quad m_{2N-1}(n) \geq m_{2N}(n) \geq 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2N-2i}\right).$$

Let  $|S| = 2N$  and  $|A_k| = n, 1 \leq k \leq m_{2N}(n)$  where  $\{A_k\}$  is a family of subsets of  $S$  which does not have property B. Clearly  $S$  can be split in  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$  ways as the union of two disjoint sets  $S_1^{(t)}$  and  $S_2^{(t)}, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$  for every  $t, |S_1^{(t)}| = |S_2^{(t)}| = N$ . By assumption the family  $\{A_k\}, 1 \leq k \leq m_{2N}(n)$  does not have property B. Thus for every  $t, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$ , at least one of the sets  $S_i^{(t)}, i = 1$  or  $2$ , contains one of our  $A_k$ 's. A fixed  $A_k$  can clearly be contained for only  $\binom{2N-n}{N-n}$  values of  $t$  in one of the sets  $S_i^{(t)}, i = 1$  or  $2$  (i.e. there are  $\binom{2N-n}{N-n}$  subsets of  $S$  having  $N$  elements which contains a given  $A_k$ ). Thus clearly

$$m_{2N}(n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N} / \binom{2N-n}{N-n} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{2N-i}{N-i} = 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2N-2i}\right).$$

Thus since  $m_{k+1}(n) \leq m_k(n)$  is obvious, Theorem 1 is proved.

THEOREM 2.

$$(4) \quad m_{2N+1}(n) \leq m_{2N}(n) \leq [N2^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i}\right)^{-1}]$$

$$= N2^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2N-2i}\right) = f(N, n)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 follows very closely the proof in [2]. Let  $|S| = 2N$ . We shall construct our  $f(N, n)$  sets  $A_k, 1 \leq k \leq f(N, n), A_k \subset S, |A_k| = n$ , not having property B by induction. Suppose I have already chosen  $\ell$  of the sets  $A_j, 1 \leq j \leq \ell < f(N, n)$  and suppose that there are  $u_\ell$  pairs of subsets of  $S \{K_i, \bar{K}_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq u_\ell$  so that no set  $A_j, 1 \leq j \leq \ell$  is contained either in  $K_i$  or in  $\bar{K}_i$ .

If  $u_\ell = 0$  Theorem 2 is proved. Assume henceforth  $u_\ell > 0$ . We shall prove that we can find a set  $A_{\ell+1}$  so that

$$(5) \quad u_{\ell+1} \leq u_\ell \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i}\right) / 2^{n-1}\right).$$

For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq u_\ell$ , consider all subsets of  $n$  elements of  $K_i$  and  $\bar{K}_i$ . For fixed  $i$  the number of these subsets is clearly

$$\binom{|K_i|}{n} + \binom{|\bar{K}_i|}{n} \geq 2 \binom{N}{n} \quad (|K_i| + |\bar{K}_i| = |S| = 2N).$$

Thus the total number of subsets under consideration ( $1 \leq i \leq u_\ell$ ) is at least  $2u_\ell \binom{N}{n}$ . The total number of subsets of  $S$  taken  $n$  at a time is  $\binom{2N}{n}$ . Hence at least one of those sets say  $A_{\ell+1}$  occurs either in  $K_i$  or in  $\bar{K}_i$  for at least

$$\frac{2u_\ell \binom{N}{n}}{\binom{2N}{n}} = 2u_\ell \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(N-i)}{(2N-i)} = \frac{u_\ell}{2^{n-1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i}\right)$$

values of  $i$ , which proves (5).

Clearly  $u_0 = 2^{2N-1}$  (since  $S$  has  $2^{2N}$  subsets). Hence from (5)

$$(6) \quad u_r \leq 2^{2N-1} / \left(1 - \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i}\right)}{2^{n-1}}\right)^r$$

Thus by (6) if  $r = f(N, n)$ ,  $u_r < 1$  and our sets  $A_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq f(N, n)$ , do not have property B, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

(2) follows easily from Theorems 1 and 2 by Stirling's formula.

For large values of  $N$  instead of  $m_N(n)$  it seems more appropriate to consider  $m'_N(n)$  where  $m'_N(n)$  is the smallest integer for which there is a family  $\{A_k\}$   $1 \leq k \leq m'_N(n)$  not having property  $B$  and satisfying  $A_k \subset S$ ,  $|S| = N$  and the further property that the set of  $A_k$ 's contained in any proper subset of  $S$  has property  $B$ . For  $n = 2$ ,  $m'_{2N+1}(n) = 2N+1$ , and, for even  $N$ ,  $m'_N(n)$  is not defined; this is just a restatement of the fact that the only critical three chromatic graphs are the odd circuits.

It is easy to see that  $m_{2n-1}(n) = m_{2n}(n) = \binom{2n-1}{n}$ . I can not compute  $m_{2n+1}(n)$  and in fact do not know the value of  $m_9(4)$ .

It would be interesting to find an asymptotic formula for  $m_N(n)$  and  $m'_N(n)$ , but I have not been able to do so. The upper and lower bounds for  $m_N(n)$  given by Theorems 1 and 2 differ by  $2N$ ; I could not even decrease this to  $o(N)$ .

I wish to thank the referee for some very useful remarks.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On a property of families of sets. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 12 (1961) 87-123 (see in particular problem 12 on p.179)
2. P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem II. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 15 (1964) 445-447.
3. E.W. Miller, On a property of families of sets. Comp. Rend. Varsovie (1937) 31-38.
4. W.M. Schmidt, Ein Kombinatorisches Problem von P. Erdős und A. Hajnal. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 15 (1964) 373-374.