

# MATHEMATIKA

A JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

VOL. 23. PART 1.

June, 1976.

No. 45.

## DISTINCT VALUES OF EULER'S $\phi$ -FUNCTION

P. ERDŐS AND R. R. HALL

*Introduction.* Let  $V(x)$  denote the number of distinct values not exceeding  $x$  taken by Euler's  $\phi$ -function, so that we have  $\pi(x) \leq V(x) \leq x$ . It was shown by Erdős and Hall [1] that for each fixed  $B > 2\sqrt{2/\log 2}$ , the estimate

$$V(x) \ll \pi(x) \exp \{B\sqrt{(\log \log x)}\}$$

holds; moreover we stated that the ratio  $V(x)/\pi(x)$  tends to infinity with  $x$ , faster than any fixed power of  $\log \log x$ . Our aim in the present paper is to prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** *There exist positive absolute constants  $A, C$ , such that*

$$V(x) \geq C\pi(x) \exp \{A(\log \log \log x)^2\}.$$

*Remarks and notation.* Here and throughout the paper,  $\log x$  is to be interpreted as  $\max(1, \log x)$  to ensure that the various iterated logarithms are well defined.

There is still a gap to be filled between this result and the estimate from above: it is not clear to us which estimate is nearer the truth.

The letters,  $p, q, r$ , are reserved for primes, also  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  are positive absolute constants, chosen to ensure the validity of every expression in which they occur.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $x, y$  be real numbers and  $\{m_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  be integers satisfying  $1 = m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_t < y < x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and for each  $n$ , let  $s(n)$  denote the number of representations of  $n$  in the form  $n = m_i(p-1)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t, p > 2y$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} s(n) \geq C_1 \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{m_i}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} s^2(n) \leq C_2 \frac{x}{\log x} \left( \frac{(\log y)^4}{\log x} + \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{m_i} \right). \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is clear, so we may confine our attention to the second. Notice that the sum on the left of (1) is the number of solutions of  $m_i(p-1) = m_j(q-1) \leq x$ , where  $p, q > 2y$ , and that the second term on the right of (1) arises

from the solutions of this equation in the case  $i = j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Let  $N_{ij}$  denote the number of solutions, i.e. choices for  $p$  and  $q$ , when  $i$  and  $j$  are fixed,  $i \neq j$ . Writing  $u = u_{ij} = (m_i, m_j)$ , we derive from Satz 4.2 of Prachar [2] p. 45 the estimate

$$N_{ij} \leq \frac{C_3 x/u}{\phi(m_i/u)\phi(m_j/u) \log^2(xu/m_i m_j)} \prod_r \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1},$$

where  $r$  runs through the prime factors of  $(m_i - m_j)/u$ . In view of the well-known result that  $m/\phi(m) = O(\log \log m)$  this gives

$$N_{ij} \leq \frac{C_4 x(m_i, m_j)(\log \log y)^3}{m_i m_j \log^2 x}. \quad (2)$$

We have to sum  $N_{ij}$  over  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ ,  $i \neq j$ . In fact it is sufficient, to obtain (1), to observe that with no restrictions on  $n_1, n_2$ , we have

$$\sum_{n_1 \leq y} \sum_{n_2 \leq y} \frac{(n_1, n_2)}{n_1 n_2} = O(\log^3 y).$$

Together with (2) this gives the result stated.

LEMMA 2. For each  $x \geq 1$ , define  $y \geq 1$  by the relation  $(\log y)^4 = \log x$ . Then with the hypotheses and notation of Lemma 1, the number  $N$  of distinct  $n \leq x$  representable in the form  $n = m_i(p-1)$ ,  $p > 2y$ , satisfies

$$N \geq C_5 \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{m_i}. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{n \leq x} s(n)\right)^2 \leq N \sum_{n \leq x} s^2(n).$$

The result follows from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 3. Let  $S(k)$  denote the sequence of distinct numbers of the form  $(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \dots (p_k - 1)$ , ( $p_i \neq p_j$ ), and let  $V_k(x)$  denote the counting function of  $S(k)$ . For  $x > e$ , define

$$W_{k+1}(x) = \int_e^x t^{-2} V_k(t) dt.$$

Then for  $x > e$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$V_{k+1}(x) \geq C_6(x/\log x)W_{k+1}(y),$$

$y$  being defined as in the previous lemma. ( $C_6$  is independent of  $k$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $\{m_i\} = S(k) \cap (0, y)$ , so that

$$m_i = (p_1^{(i)} - 1)(p_2^{(i)} - 1) \dots (p_k^{(i)} - 1) < y.$$

The integers  $n$  represented in Lemma 1 belong to  $S(k+1)$ : if  $n = m_i(p-1)$ ,  $p$  is different from  $p_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$  since  $p > 2y$ . (This gives  $p-1 > y$  as by hypothesis,  $y > e$ .) Next,

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{m_i} \geq \sum_i \int_{m_i}^y t^{-2} dt \geq \int_e^y t^{-2} V_k(t) dt,$$

and now using Lemma 2, we obtain the result stated.

*Proof of the theorem.* Evidently  $V(x) \geq V_k(x)$  for every  $k$ , and, starting with  $V_1(x) \geq \pi(x)$ , we set out to estimate the  $V_k(x)$  from below by induction. The induction hypothesis is that

$$V_{k+1}(x) \geq C_7 \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(C_6 \log \log x)^k}{k!} 2^{-k(k+1)}, \quad (4)$$

which is true for  $k=0$ . By Lemma 3, then,

$$\begin{aligned} (x/\log x)^{-1} V_{k+2}(x) &\geq C_6 W_{k+2}(y) \\ &\geq C_6 C_7 \int_e^y \frac{(C_6 \log \log t)^k 2^{-k(k+1)}}{k! t \log t} dt \\ &\geq C_7 \frac{(C_6 \log \log y)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} 2^{-k(k+1)} \\ &\geq C_7 \frac{(C_6 \log \log x)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} 2^{-(k+1)(k+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

in view of the relation between  $x$  and  $y$ . This completes the induction, so that (4) holds for all  $k$ .

Next, since  $k! \leq k^k$ , we have, from (4) and  $V(x) \geq V_{k+1}(x)$ ,

$$\log \frac{V(x) \log x}{x} \geq k \log \log \log x - k^2 \log 2 - C_8 k \log k.$$

Now we choose  $k = [(\log 4)^{-1} \log \log \log x]$ , and obtain the result stated, for every  $A < 1/\log 16$ .

Finally, we would like to ask the following question: is it true that, for every  $c > 1$ ,  $\lim V(cx)/V(x) = c$ ?

#### References

1. P. Erdős and R. R. Hall. "On the values of Euler's  $\phi$ -function", *Acta Arithmetica*, 22 (1973), 201-206.
2. K. Prachar. *Primzahlverteilung* (Springer, 1957).

Department of Mathematics,  
University of York,  
England.

10H25: NUMBER THEORY; Multiplicative theory; Asymptotic results on arithmetic functions.

Received on the 28th of July, 1975.