

Continuation from "Creation in Mathematics ,9,1976"

PROBLEMS AND RESULTS IN COMBINATORIAL ANALYSIS

By

PAL ERDŐS

4. Some remarks on a theorem of Stone and myself. Stone and I proved that for $n > n_0(\varepsilon, k, l)$ every $G(n; \frac{n^2}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{k-1} + \varepsilon))$ contains a $K_k^{(l)}$ (for $k=2$ this is again a weaker form of the Kovari-Sos, Turan theorem). Our original proof did not give a very good dependence of n on l and ε . A very much sharper result in this direction was just published by Bollobas and myself; a further improvement which is nearly best possible has recently been obtained by Bollobas, Simonovitz and myself.

Recently I succeeded to extend this theorem to r -graphs as follows: To every r, ε, t and l there is an $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon, r, t, l)$ so that every $G^{(r)}(n; \alpha(t, r) + \varepsilon) \binom{n}{r}$ contains a $K_t^{(r)}(l)$ where $\alpha(t, r)$ is defined by (1) of chapter 1. Here we do not yet have a good estimate of n in terms of ε, k and l (unlike for $r=2$).

The following problem is open and seems very challenging to me: Let $G^{(r)}(n_i), i = 1, \dots, n_i \rightarrow \infty$ be a sequence of r -graphs of n_i vertices. We say that the family has subgraphs of density $\geq \alpha$ if there is a sequence of subgraphs $G(m_i)$ of $G^{(r)}(n_i), m_i \rightarrow \infty$, so that $G(m_i)$ has at least $(\alpha + o(1)) \binom{m_i}{r}$ edges. The theorem of Stone and myself implies that every $G(n; \frac{n^2}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{l} + \varepsilon))$ contains a subgraph of density $1 - \frac{1}{l}$ and it is easy to see that this is best possible. Thus the possible maximal densities of subgraphs are of the form $1 - \frac{1}{l}, 2 \leq l < \infty$. Now it may be true that for $r > 2$ there are also only a denumerable number of possible values of the maximal densities of subgraphs. As stated at the end of the previous

Current Address: Mathematical Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Reál Tanoda U13-15, Budapest V, Hungary.

chapter, I proved that every r -graph of density ε contains a subgraph of density $\geq \frac{r!}{r^r}$. The simplest unsolved problem states: Is there a constant $\alpha_r > 0$ so that every r -graph of n vertices (n large) and $(\frac{r!}{r^r} + \varepsilon)n^r$ edges contains a subgraph of density $\geq \frac{r!}{r^r} + \alpha_r$. This is unsolved even for $r=3$. Perhaps every $G^{(3)}(3n; n^3+1)$ contains either a $G^{(3)}(4;3)$ or a $G^{(3)}(5;4); (1,2,3), (1,2,4), (1,2,5), (3,4,5)$ or a $G^{(3)}(5,5); (1,2,3), (1,2,4), (1,3,5), (2,4,5), (3,4,5)$. The same unsolved problems on the possible maximal densities arise on multigraphs and digraphs as stated in a recent paper of Brown, Simonovits and myself.

By the method of probabilistic graph theory it is easy to prove that to every ε and $0 < \alpha < 1$ there is a $C = C(\varepsilon, \alpha)$ so that for $n > n_0(C, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ there is a $G^{(r)}(n, \alpha \binom{n}{r})$ so that for every $m > C(\log n)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}$ every spanned subgraph of its m vertices has more than $(\alpha - \varepsilon) \binom{m}{r}$ and less than $(\alpha + \varepsilon) \binom{m}{r}$ edges and it follows from the results of my paper on graphs and generalized graphs that this result is best possible (Israel Journal Math. 2(1965), 183-190).

P. Erdős and A. Stone, On the structure of linear graphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52(1946), 1087-1091.

B. Bollobas and P. Erdős, On the structure of edge graphs, Bull. London Math. 15(1973), 317-321. The triple paper with Simonovits will soon appear in J. London Math. Soc.

P. Erdős, On some extremal problems on r -graphs, Discrete Math. 1(1971), 1-6.

W.G. Brown, P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, Extremal problems for directed graphs, J. Comb. Theory, ser. B. 15(1973), 77-9

5. In this chapter I discuss various combinatorial problems on subsets. First of all I call attention to my paper with Kleitman quoted in the introduction. Here I mainly discuss problems not considered in our survey paper.

First we consider some problems related to a result of

Ko, Rado and myself. Let $|S|=n, A_i \subset S, |S_i|=k$. Denote by $t(n; k, r, \alpha)$ the size of the largest family $A_j, 1 \leq j \leq t(n; k, r, \alpha)$ satisfying $|A_{j_1} \cap A_{j_2}| \leq r$ and every element is contained in at most $\alpha t(n; k, r, \alpha)$ of the A 's. $t(n; k, r, < \alpha)$ is the size of the largest subfamily with the same properties but now every element is contained in fewer than $\alpha t(n; k, r, < \alpha)$ of the A 's. Ko, Rado and I proved that for $n \geq 2k$:

$$(1) \quad t(n; k, 1, 1) = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

For $n > 2k$ equality holds iff all the A 's have a common element. For $n \geq n_0(k, r)$ we further proved

$$(2) \quad t(n; k, r, 1) = \binom{n-r}{k-r}$$

Our estimation for $n_0(k, r)$ is probably very poor, but in observed (2) does not hold for all $n \geq 2k$. We conjectured that

$$(3) \quad t(4l; 2l, 2, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \binom{4l}{2l} - \binom{2l}{l}^2 \right\}$$

(3) if true is best possible. We state in our paper several other problems most of which has been settled since then, but as far as I know (3) has not been settled as yet.

Hilton and Milner proved that for $n \geq 2k$

$$(4) \quad t(n; k, 1, < 1) = 1 + \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}$$

Equality in (4) occurs if, (and no doubt only if $n > n_0(k, r)$), A_1 is an arbitrary k -tuple, x_1 is not in A_1 . All the other A 's contain x_1 and have a non-empty intersection with A_1 .

Observe that for fixed k

$$t(n; k, 1, 1) = (1 + O(1))n^{-2} \binom{n}{k}$$

3. Now Rothschild, Szemerédi and I took up this investigation. We first of all showed that for $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$

$$(5) \quad t(n; k, 1, \frac{2}{3}) = 3 \binom{n-2}{k-2} - 2 \binom{n-3}{k-3}$$

Equality iff (until further notice n is supposed to be large), there are three elements and the A 's contain at least two of them.

We further proved: $t(n; k, t, < \frac{2}{3}) = cn^{-3} \binom{n}{k}$.

The extremal family is obtained as follows: given three elements x_1, x_2, x_3 and a set A_1 not containing any of the All the other A 's meet A_1 and contain at least two of the x 's.

Let now $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. We are fairly sure that a family of size $t(n; k, 1, \frac{2}{3} - \epsilon)$ is obtained as follows: Let x_1, \dots, x_5 be five elements, the A 's contain three or more of them and $t(n; k, 1, \alpha)$ is constant between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{5}$. There seem to be only a finite number of values of $t(n; k, 1, \alpha)$ for $\frac{3}{7} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3}$. $t(n; k, 1, \frac{3}{7})$ is probably obtained as follows: Consider a set $B \subset |B|=7$ and the 7 Steiner triples of B . The A 's are all the sets which meet B in a set which contains at least one of these triples. We also are fairly sure that

$$t(n; k, 1, < \frac{3}{7}) < \frac{c}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \binom{n}{k}.$$

More generally we conjecture that

$$t(n; k, 1, < \frac{l}{l-1}) < \frac{c}{n^{\frac{l}{l-1}}} \binom{n}{k}.$$

If there is a finite geometry on $l^2 - l + 1$ elements, then it is easy to see that

$$t(n; k, 1, \frac{l}{l-1}) = \frac{c}{n^{\frac{l}{l-1}}} \binom{n}{k},$$

but if there is no such finite geometry we conjecture that

$$t(n; k, 1, \frac{l}{l-1}) < \frac{c}{n^{\frac{l}{l-1}}} \binom{n}{k}.$$

Needless to say these last two conjectures are very speculative.

Kneser made the following pretty conjecture: - Let $|S| = 2n + k$ and define a graph $G_{n,k}$ as follows: Its vertices are the $\binom{2n+k}{n}$ n -tuples of S . Two vertices are joined if the corresponding n -sets are disjoint. Denote by $K(G)$ the chromatic number of G . Kneser conjectured $K(G_{n,k}) = k+2$. $K(G_{n,k}) \leq k+2$ is immediate but the opposite inequality seems to present great and unexpected difficulties. Szemerédi proved (unpublished) that $K(G_{n,k})$ tends to infinity uniformly in k . Hajnal and I and no doubt many others tried to attack this problem by the following

The continuation will appear in Creation in Mathematics 1 1978.