

ON THE INTEGRAL OF THE LEBESGUE FUNCTION OF INTERPOLATION

By

P. ERDŐS, member of the Academy and J. SZABADOS (Budapest)

Let

$$(1) \quad -1 \leq x_{1,n} < x_{2,n} < \dots < x_{n,n} \leq 1$$

be the nodes of interpolation (shortly $x_k = x_{k,n}$);

$$l_k(x) = l_{k,n}(x) = \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega'(x_k)(x-x_k)} \quad \left(k = 1, \dots, n; \omega(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x-x_k) \right)$$

the corresponding fundamental polynomials, and

$$\lambda_n(a, b) = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)| \quad \text{if } -1 \leq a < b \leq 1.$$

The quantity $\lambda_n(-1, 1)$ called Lebesgue constant plays an important role in the theory of Lagrange interpolation; as G. FABER [1] showed ¹

$$(2) \quad \lambda_n(-1, 1) \cong c_1 \log n$$

for an arbitrary system of nodes (1). Moreover, S. BERNSTEIN [2] proved that

$$(3) \quad \lambda_n(a, b) \cong c_2 \log n \quad (n \cong n_1(a, b); -1 \leq a < b \leq 1)$$

for all systems (1) again.

In this paper we prove a more general result from which (3) will follow as a corollary.

THEOREM. For an arbitrary system of nodes (1) and subinterval $[a, b] \subseteq [-1, 1]$ we have

$$(4) \quad \int_a^b \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)| dx \cong c_3(b-a) \log n \quad (n \cong n_2(a, b)).$$

In the special case $a = -1, b = 1$, this result has been announced in [3] (with an indication of a possible method of proof). Our proof is simpler and follows a different pattern.

PROOF. According to the growth rate of $\lambda_n(a, b)$ we distinguish two cases.

¹ In what follows, c_1, c_2, \dots will denote absolute positive constants.

Case 1: $\lambda_n(a, b) \geq n^3$. Then let $y_n \in [a, b]$ be such that $\lambda_n(a, b) = \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(y_n)|$, say $x_i < y_n < x_{i+1}$. On the interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, $\sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)|$ is identical with a polynomial of degree less than n , and this polynomial attains its absolute maximum on $[a, b]$ also at y_n . But then by Markov's inequality, the absolute value of this polynomial is $\geq \frac{1}{2} n^3$ in the interval $\left[y_n - \frac{b-a}{4n^2}, y_n + \frac{b-a}{4n^2} \right]$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2} n^3 \quad \text{if } x \in [a, b] \cap \left[y_n - \frac{b-a}{4n^2}, y_n + \frac{b-a}{4n^2} \right],$$

i.e.

$$\int_a^b \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)| dx \geq \frac{1}{2} n^3 \frac{b-a}{4n^2} = \frac{b-a}{8} n$$

which is even more than we need.

Case 2: $\lambda_n(a, b) < n^3$. Then, as we shall see from the following lemma, the intervals $[x_k, x_{k+1}] \subseteq [a, b]$ cannot be "too long".

LEMMA. *We have*

$$(5) \quad \max_{a \leq x_k < x_{k+1} \leq b} (x_{k+1} - x_k) \leq 25 \frac{\log \lambda_n(a, b)}{n} \quad (n \geq n_3(a, b))$$

for an arbitrary system of nodes (1).

By a slightly more complicated argument, we could replace x_k by $\arccos x_k$ in this lemma, and then (5) would be a generalization of Theorem IV from [4]. However, the given formulation will be sufficient for our purposes.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Assume the contrary; then there exists a subinterval $[c_n, d_n] \subset [a, b]$ of length

$$d_n - c_n = 25 \frac{\log \lambda_n(a, b)}{n}$$

which does not contain any of the nodes x_k , $k=1, 2, \dots, n$.² Let

$$\gamma_n = \frac{3c_n + 2d_n}{5}, \quad \delta_n = \frac{2c_n + 3d_n}{5}$$

and z_k , $k=1, \dots, n$, the roots of the Chebyshev polynomial $T_n(x)$ of degree n . The polynomial

$$p_n(x) = \prod_{z_k \notin [\gamma_n, \delta_n]} (x - z_k)$$

is of degree less than n . Let $x_0 \in [\gamma_n, \delta_n]$ be a point such that $|T_n(x)|$ attains its local

² We may assume that $25 \frac{\log \lambda_n(a, b)}{n} < b - a$; otherwise there is nothing to prove.

maximum at x_0 . Such a point exists because by the Bernstein's result (3)

$$\delta_n - \gamma_n = \frac{d_n - c_n}{5} = 5 \frac{\log \lambda_n(a, b)}{n} > \frac{\pi}{n} > \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} |z_{k+1} - z_k| \quad (n \cong n_4(a, b))$$

holds. Thus we obtain for $x \in [-1, 1] \setminus [c_n, d_n]$

$$\begin{aligned} |p_n(x)| &= \left| \frac{T_n(x)}{\prod_{z_k \in [c_n, d_n]} (x - z_k)} \right| = |p_n(x_0)| \cdot \left| \frac{T_n(x)}{T_n(x_0)} \right| \cdot \prod_{z_k \in [c_n, d_n]} \left| \frac{x_0 - z_k}{x - z_k} \right| \cong \\ &\cong |p_n(x_0)| \cdot \prod_{z_k \in [c_n, d_n]} \frac{1}{2} \cong |p_n(x_0)| \cdot 2^{-\lceil \frac{\delta_n - \gamma_n}{\pi} n \rceil} < |p_n(x_0)| \lambda_n(a, b)^{-1 \cdot 1} \quad (n \cong n_5(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Lagrange interpolation formula

$$|p_n(x_0)| \cong \sum_{k=1}^n |p_n(x_k)| \cdot |l_k(x_0)| < |p_n(x_0)| \lambda_n(a, b)^{-1 \cdot 1} \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x_0)| \cong |p_n(x_0)| \lambda_n(a, b)^{-0 \cdot 1},$$

i.e. $\lambda_n(a, b) < 1$, a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Returning to the proof of our theorem, (5) implies that in case $\lambda_n(a, b) < n^3$ we have

$$(6) \quad \max_{a \cong x_k - x_{k+1} \cong b} (x_{k+1} - x_k) \cong 75 \frac{\log n}{n} \quad (n \cong n_3(a, b)).$$

Let

$$(a \cong) x_i < x_{i+1} < \dots < x_j (\cong b)$$

be all the nodes lying in the interval $[a, b]$, then

$$x_i \rightarrow a, \quad x_j \rightarrow b \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

(otherwise even $|l_i(a)|$ or $|l_j(b)|$ would increase at least as a geometric progression).

Further

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \int_a^b \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)| dx &\cong \sum_{m=i}^{j-1} \int_{x_m}^{x_{m+1}} \sum_{k=i}^j |l_k(x)| dx \cong \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, m=i}^{j-1} \int_{x_m}^{x_{m+1}} \{|l_k(x)| + |l_{k+1}(x)|\} dx > \\ &> \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=i}^{j-1} \sum_{k=m}^{j-1} \left\{ \int_{x_m}^{x_{m+1}} (|l_k(x)| + |l_{k+1}(x)|) dx + \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (|l_m(x)| + |l_{m+1}(x)|) dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Delta x_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ and

$$y = \frac{\Delta x_k}{\Delta x_m} (x - x_m) + x_k \quad (i \cong m \cong k \cong j-1),$$

then using the inequality

$$l_k(y) + l_{k+1}(y) \cong 1 \quad (x_k \cong y \cong x_{k+1})$$

(cf. [5, Lemma IV]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |l_k(x) + |l_{k+1}(x)| &= \left| \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega(y)} \right| \left\{ l_k(y) \frac{y-x_k}{x_k-x} + l_{k+1}(y) \frac{x_{k+1}-y}{x_{k+1}-x} \right\} \cong \\ &\cong \left| \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega(y)} \right| \frac{\Delta x_k}{4(x_{k+1}-x_m)} \{l_k(y) + l_{k+1}(y)\} \cong \left| \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega(y)} \right| \frac{\Delta x_k}{4(x_{k+1}-x_m)} \\ &\quad \left(x_m + \frac{\Delta x_m}{4} \cong x \cong x_{m+1} - \frac{\Delta x_m}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_m}^{x_{m+1}} \{|l_k(x) + |l_{k+1}(x)|\} dx &\cong \int_{x_m + \frac{\Delta x_m}{4}}^{x_{m+1} - \frac{\Delta x_m}{4}} \left| \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega(y)} \right| dx \cdot \frac{\Delta x_k}{4(x_{k+1}-x_m)} = \\ &= \frac{\Delta x_m}{4(x_{k+1}-x_m)} \int_{x_k + \frac{\Delta x_k}{4}}^{x_{k+1} - \frac{\Delta x_k}{4}} \left| \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega(y)} \right| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by changing the roles of k and m , x and y ,

$$\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \{|l_m(x) + |l_{m+1}(x)|\} dx \cong \frac{\Delta x_m}{4(x_{k+1}-x_m)} \int_{x_k + \frac{\Delta x_k}{4}}^{x_{k+1} - \frac{\Delta x_k}{4}} \left| \frac{\omega(y)}{\omega(x)} \right| dy.$$

Hence and from (7)

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \int_a^b \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)| dx &> \frac{1}{8} \sum_{m=i}^{j-1} \sum_{k=m}^{j-1} \frac{\Delta x_m}{x_{k+1}-x_m} \int_{x_k + \frac{\Delta x_k}{4}}^{x_{k+1} - \frac{\Delta x_k}{4}} \left\{ \left| \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega(y)} \right| + \left| \frac{\omega(y)}{\omega(x)} \right| \right\} dy \cong \\ &\cong \frac{1}{8} \sum_{a \leq x_m \leq \frac{a+b}{2}} \Delta x_m \sum_{k=m}^{j-1} \frac{\Delta x_k}{x_{k+1}-x_m}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the inner sum, let

$$I_{t,m} = \left[x_m + \frac{75 \log n}{n} t, x_m + \frac{75 \log n}{n} (t+1) \right] \quad (t = 0, 1, \dots, s_n)$$

where

$$s_n = \left[\frac{(b-a)n}{150 \log n} \right].$$

Then by (6), $I_{t,m} \subset [a, b]$, and each $I_{t,m}$ contains at least one of the nodes x_k . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=m}^{j-1} \frac{\Delta x_k}{x_{k+1} - x_m} &\cong \sum_{t=0}^{s_n} \sum_{x_k \in I_{t,m}} \frac{\Delta x_k}{x_{k+1} - x_m} \cong \sum_{t=0}^{s_n} \frac{n}{75(t+1) \log n} \sum_{x_k \in I_{t,m}} \Delta x_k \cong \\ &\cong \frac{n}{75 \log n} \sum_{t=1}^{\left[\frac{s_n}{3}\right]} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{x_k \in I_{t,m} \cup I_{t+1,m} \cup I_{t+2,m}} \Delta x_k \cong \sum_{t=1}^{\left[\frac{s_n}{3}\right]} \frac{1}{t} \cong \frac{1}{2} \log n \quad (n \cong n_6(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (8) yields

$$\int_a^b \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k(x)| dx \cong \frac{\log n}{16} \sum_{a \leq x_m \leq \frac{a+b}{2}} \Delta x_m \cong \frac{b-a}{40} \log n \quad (n \cong n_2(a, b)).$$

Q.E.D.

The best constants in (2) and (3) are (roughly speaking) $2/\pi$. Apparently, our c_3 in (4) is far from being best possible, and our method does not seem to be applicable to finding of the largest c_3 .

References

- [1] G. FABER, Über die interpolatorische Darstellung stetiger Funktionen, *Jahresber. der Deutschen Math. Ver.*, **23** (1914), 190—210.
- [2] S. BERNSTEIN, Sur la limitation des valeurs d'un polynome, *Bull. Acad. Sci. de l'URSS*, **8** (1931), 1025—1050.
- [3] P. ERDŐS, Problems and results on the theory of interpolation. II, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **12** (1961), 235—244.
- [4] P. ERDŐS and P. TURÁN, On interpolation. II, *Annals of Math.*, **39** (1938), 705—724.
- [5] P. ERDŐS and P. TURÁN, On interpolation. III, *Annals of Math.*, **41** (1940), 510—552.

(Received May 4, 1977)