

FINITE ABELIAN GROUP COHESION

BY

P. ERDŐS AND B. SMITH

ABSTRACT

This paper studies the evenness of set arithmetic in a finite abelian group.

Let G be a finite abelian group. We use $\#$ to denote cardinality. Let $\#G = p$. For $A, B \subset G$ let $m(x, A, B) = \#\{(a, b) : a + b = x, a \in A, b \in B\}$. For $E \subset G$ let E' denote its complement.

THEOREM. (Cohesion Equation).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in G} |m(x, E, E) + m(x, E', E') - m(x, E, E') - m(x, E', E)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} |m(x, E, -E) + m(x, E', -E') - m(x, E, -E') - m(x, E', -E)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let Γ denote the dual group of G . Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E, \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in E'. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$. The Cohesion Equation states

$$\sum_{x \in G} |f * f(x)|^2 = \sum_{x \in G} |f * \tilde{f}(x)|^2.$$

Let $\hat{f}(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\gamma(-x)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\sum_{x \in G} |f * f(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}^2(\gamma)|^2 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}(\gamma)\tilde{\hat{f}}(\gamma)|^2 = \sum_{x \in G} |f * \tilde{f}(x)|^2.$$

Received April 4, 1979

THEOREM 1.

$$\min_{E \subset G} \max_{x \in G} |m(x, E, E) + m(x, E', E') - 2m(x, E, E')| \geq p^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. Consider the right hand side of the Cohesion Equation.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum |m(x, E, -E) + m(x, E', -E') - m(x, E, -E') - m(x, E', -E)|^2 \\ & \geq |m(0, E, -E) + m(0, E', -E') - m(0, E, -E') - m(0, E', -E)|^2 = p^2. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM II. Let $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$. Let G be a finite group with no elements of order 2. Then

$$\min_{E \subset G} \max_{x \in G} |m(x, E, E) + m(x, E', E') - 2m(x, E, E')| \leq Kp^\lambda$$

(K depends only on λ).

The proof of Theorem II requires 3 Lemmas.

For the remainder of the argument let $\#G = n + 1$, and let there be no elements of order 2 in G . We consider all ways of writing $G \setminus \{0\} = E \cup F$ with $\#E = \#F = n/2$. Let $\alpha = (n - 1)/n$. For $x \in G \setminus \{0\}$ we see that $\alpha n/4$ is the expected value of $m(x, E, F)$, since $(G \setminus \{0\}) \times (G \setminus \{0\})$ has cardinality n^2 and $(G \setminus \{0\}) + (G \setminus \{0\})$ represents $x (\neq 0)$ $n - 1$ times. When E is understood we use $m(x)$ for $m(x, E, F)$. Let

$$A(r, s) = \sum \binom{k_1 + \cdots + k_s}{k_1} \binom{k_2 + \cdots + k_s}{k_2} \cdots \binom{k_s}{k_s} \frac{1}{j_1!} \frac{1}{j_2!} \cdots \frac{1}{j_s!}$$

where the summation is over s -tuples of integers, k_1, \dots, k_s , satisfying: $k_1 + \cdots + k_s = r$. $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_s \geq 1$. $k_1 = \cdots = k_{j_1}$; $k_{j_1+1} = \cdots = k_{j_1+j_2}$; \dots ; $k_{j_1+\cdots+j_{s-1}+1} = \cdots = k_{j_1+\cdots+j_s}$.

LEMMA 1.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Expectation} \left(\sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x \neq 0}} (m(x) - \alpha n/4)^p \right) = \\ & = E \left(\sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x \neq 0}} \left\{ \binom{p}{p} (m(x))^p + \binom{p}{p-1} (-1) \left(\frac{\alpha n}{4} \right) (m(x))^{p-1} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \cdots + \binom{p}{0} (-1)^p \left(\frac{\alpha n}{4} \right)^p \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \binom{p}{p} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^p n^2(n-2(1))(n-2(2)) \cdots (n-2(p-1)) \right. \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^{p-1} A(p, p-1)n^2(n-2(1)) \cdots (n-2(p-2)) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^{p-2} A(p, p-2)n^2(n-2(1)) \cdots (n-2(p-3)) \\
 &\quad + \cdots + \left. \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right) A(p, 1)n^2 \right\} \\
 &+ (-1)^1 \binom{p}{p-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^p nn^2(n-2(1)) \cdots (n-2(p-2)) \right. \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^{p-1} A(p-1, p-2)nn^2(n-2(1)) \cdots (n-2(p-3)) \\
 &\quad + \cdots + \left. \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^2 A(p-1, 1)nn^2 \right\} \\
 &+ (-1)^2 \binom{p}{p-2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^p n^2n^2(n-2(1)) \cdots (n-2(p-3)) \right. \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^{p-1} A(p-2, p-3)n^2n^2(n-2(1)) \cdots (n-2(p-4)) \\
 &\quad + \cdots + \left. \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^3 A(p-2, 1)n^2n^2 \right\} \\
 &+ \cdots + \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{p-1} \binom{p}{1} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^p n^{p-1}n^2 \right\} + (-1)^p \binom{p}{0} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} \right)^p n^p n \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The proof of this lemma is done in analogy to the proof of the lemma on page 130 of [1]. The $p = 2$ argument is completely general.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E \left(\sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x \neq 0}} \left(m(x) - \frac{\alpha n}{4} \right)^2 \right) = \\
 &E \left(\sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x \neq 0}} \left\{ \binom{2}{2} (m(x))^2 - \binom{2}{1} m(x) \left(\frac{\alpha n}{4} \right) + \binom{2}{0} \left(\frac{\alpha n}{4} \right)^2 \right\} \right), \\
 &m(x) = \sum_{\epsilon_1 a_1 + \epsilon_2 a_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_{n/2} a_{n/2} + \delta_1 b_1 + \cdots + \delta_{n/2} b_{n/2} = x} 1
 \end{aligned}$$

where $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n/2})$, $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n/2})$ represent choices of E, F and where $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n/2})$, $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n/2})$ represent $n/2$ -tuples consisting of one 1 and $(n/2 - 1)$ zeroes. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x \neq 0}} E((m(x))^2) &= \sum P(0 \neq \varepsilon_1 a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n/2} a_{n/2} + \delta_1 b_1 + \dots + \delta_{n/2} b_{n/2}) \\ &= \varepsilon'_1 a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon'_{n/2} a_{n/2} + \delta'_1 b_1 + \dots + \delta'_{n/2} b_{n/2} \end{aligned}$$

where $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n/2})$, $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n/2})$, $(\varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_{n/2})$, $(\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{n/2})$ are allowed to run independently.

We abbreviate $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n/2}) = \varepsilon$, $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n/2}) = \delta$, $(a_1, \dots, a_{n/2}) = a$, $(b_1, \dots, b_{n/2}) = b$, $\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n/2} a_{n/2} = (\varepsilon, a)$ and $\delta_1 b_1 + \dots + \delta_{n/2} b_{n/2} = (\delta, b)$. We use $P(A | B)$ to denote the conditional probability of A given B .

If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$, $\delta = \delta'$, then $P((\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) = (\varepsilon', a) + (\delta', b)) = 1$ and $P(0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b)) = \alpha$. So

$$\sum_{\substack{\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \\ \delta = \delta'}} P(0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) = (\varepsilon', a) + (\delta', b)) = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 \alpha.$$

If $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$ and $\delta \neq \delta'$ or $\varepsilon \neq \varepsilon'$ and $\delta = \delta'$, then $P((\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) = (\varepsilon', a) + (\delta', b)) = 0$. So

$$\sum_{\substack{(\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \text{ and } \delta \neq \delta') \\ \text{or} \\ (\varepsilon \neq \varepsilon' \text{ and } \delta = \delta')}} P(0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) = (\varepsilon', a) + (\delta', b)) = 0.$$

If $\varepsilon \neq \varepsilon'$ and $\delta \neq \delta'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &P(0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) = (\varepsilon', a) + (\delta', b)) = \\ &= P(0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b)) \cdot P((\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) \neq 2(\varepsilon', a) | 0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b)) \\ &\cdot P(0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) - (\varepsilon', a) | 0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b), (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) \neq 2(\varepsilon', a)) \\ &\cdot P((\delta', b) = (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) - (\varepsilon', a) | 0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b), \\ &(\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) \neq 2(\varepsilon', a), 0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) - (\varepsilon', a)) = \alpha \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-2} \cdot \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{n-3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\varepsilon \neq \varepsilon' \\ \delta \neq \delta'}} P(0 \neq (\varepsilon, a) + (\delta, b) = (\varepsilon', a) + (\delta', b)) = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)^2 \alpha^2 \frac{1}{n-2}.$$

Hence,

$$E\left(\sum\left(m(x)-\frac{\alpha n}{4}\right)^2\right)=\binom{2}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^2 n^2(n-2(1))+\frac{\alpha}{4} n^2\right\}-\binom{2}{1}\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^2 n \cdot n^2\right\} \\ +\binom{2}{0}\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^2 n^2 \cdot n\right\}.$$

Let $f_r(s) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r \leq s} (j_1 j_2 \dots j_r)$ where j_1, \dots, j_r are integers.

LEMMA 2. (Cohesion Identities). *The $1/4^{2k}$ identities are true.*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j) = 0, \\ \sum_{j=2}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j) f_1(j-1) = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j) f_{k-1}(j-1) = 0.$$

The $1/4^{2k-1}$ identities are true.

$$\sum_{j=2}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j-1) = 0, \\ \sum_{j=3}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j-1) f_1(j-2) = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j-1) f_{k-2}(j-2) = 0.$$

The $1/4^{2k-2}$ identities are true.

$$\sum_{j=3}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j-2) = 0, \\ \sum_{j=4}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j-2) f_1(j-3) = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j-2) f_{k-3}(j-3) = 0.$$

...

The $1/4^{k+1}$ identity is true.

$$\sum_{j=k}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j - (k - 1)) = 0.$$

PROOF. The proof depends on the following Prelemma.

PRELEMMA. Let $k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. In the following it is understood that the x in $f_k(x + l)$ satisfies x is an integer and $x \geq k - l$. a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots are constants but are allowed to change as we go from one identity to the next. For each $l \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ we have the following list of identities. (We are only interested in these identities for $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.)

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x + l) &= a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1 x + a_2 x(x - 1), \\ &\vdots \\ f_l(x + l) &= a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1 x + a_2 x(x - 1) + \dots + a_{2l} x(x - 1) \cdots (x - (2l - 1)), \\ f_{l+1}(x + l) &= a_1 x + a_2 x(x - 1) + \dots + a_{2(l+1)} x(x - 1) \cdots (x - (2(l + 1) - 1)), \\ &\vdots \\ f_{l+j}(x + l) &= a_j x(x - 1) \cdots (x - (j - 1)) + \dots \\ &\quad + a_{2(l+j)} x(x - 1) \cdots (x - (2(l + j) - 1)), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THE PRELEMMA. The $l = -1$ identities are proved by induction using the equation $f_k(x) = x f_{k-1}(x - 1) + f_k(x - 1)$. The others follow from the -1 identities and substitution.

We return to the proof of the Cohesion Identities

The 1st identity in each $1/4^l$ list can be gotten directly from multinomial expansions.

We are left the problem of showing

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=t}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} A(j, j - t) f_s(j - t - 1) = 0$$

where $0 \leq t$; $t + 2 \leq r \leq k$; $s = r - (t + 1)$. We must use multinomial expansions, differentiation and the Prelemma to see this.

Given $t \geq 0$ we call an expression of the form $u = h_1 + \dots + h_{u-t}$; $h_1 \geq \dots \geq h_{u-t} \geq 1$; $h_1, \dots, h_{u-t} \in Z$ a t -partition. We say that the t partitions

$$u = h_1 + \dots + h_{u-t}, \quad v = i_1 + \dots + i_{v-t}$$

are *similar* if (let us suppose $u - t < v - t$) $h_1 = i_1, h_2 = i_2, \dots, h_{u-t} = i_{u-t}, i_{u-t+1} = \dots = i_{v-t} = 1$.

Fix a t -decomposition and let u be the smallest number $\geq r$ with a similar decomposition.

$$u = h_1 + \dots + h_{u-t}.$$

If $h_{u-t} > 1$, let $q = 0$. Otherwise let q be the largest number satisfying $h_{u-t-q-1} = \dots = h_{u-t} = 1$. Let $u - t - q - 2 = p$. Our objective is to prove

$$(2) \sum_{j=u}^{2k} (-1)^j \binom{2k}{j} \binom{j}{h_1} \binom{j-h_1}{h_2} \dots \binom{j-h_1-\dots-h_{p-1}}{h_p} f_s(j-t-1) = 0.$$

We know:

$$\begin{aligned} (y + x_1 + \dots + x_p - 1)^{2k} &= \dots + \left\{ (-1)^{u-q} \binom{2k}{u-q} \binom{u-q}{h_1} \dots \binom{h_p}{h_p} \right. \\ &+ (-1)^{u-q+1} \binom{2k}{u-q} \dots \binom{h_p+1}{h_p} y + \dots + (-1)^u \binom{2k}{u} \binom{u}{h_1} \dots \binom{h_p+q}{h_p} y^q \\ &\left. + (-1)^{u+1} \binom{2k}{u+1} \dots \binom{h_p+q+1}{h_p} y^{q+1} + \dots \right\} x_1^{h_1} x_2^{h_2} \dots x_p^{h_p} + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

We apply D_y^j (D_y^j denotes the operator that takes the j -th derivative with respect to y) to this equation for $j = q, \dots, 2s$. Let $h_1 + \dots + h_p = H$. We arrive at the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &(2k)(2k-1)\dots(2k-(q-1))(y+x_1+\dots+x_p-1)^{2k-q} \\ &= \dots + \left\{ (-1)^u \binom{2k}{u} \dots \binom{h_p+q}{h_p} q \cdot (q-1) \dots 1 \right. \\ &+ (-1)^{u+1} \binom{2k}{u+1} \dots \binom{h_p+q+1}{h_p} (q+1)q \dots 2y + \dots \left. \right\} x_1^{h_1} \dots x_p^{h_p} + \dots, \\ &(2k)(2k-1)\dots(2k-q)(y+x_1+\dots+x_p-1)^{2k-q-1} \\ &= \dots + \left\{ (-1)^{u+1} \binom{2k}{u+1} \dots \binom{h_p+q+1}{h_p} (q+1)q \dots 1 \right. \\ &+ (-1)^{u+2} \binom{2k}{u+2} \dots \binom{h_p+q+2}{h_p} (q+2)(q+1) \dots 2 \cdot y + \dots \left. \right\} x_1^{h_1} \dots x_p^{h_p} \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

...

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2k) \cdots (2k - (2s - 1))(y + x_1 + \cdots + x_p - 1)^{2k - 2s} \\
 & = \cdots + \left\{ (-1)^{H+2s} \binom{2k}{H+2s} \cdots \binom{h_p + 2s}{h_p} (2s) \cdots (1) \right. \\
 & \left. + (-1)^{H+2s+1} \binom{2k}{H+2s+1} \cdots \binom{h_p + 2s + 1}{h_p} (2s + 1) \cdots 2 \cdot y + \cdots \right\} x_1^{h_1} \cdots x_p^{h_p} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad + \cdots
 \end{aligned}$$

We need to note that $h_1 + \cdots + h_p \leq 2k - 2s - 2$. Among all t partitions the largest value $h_1 + \cdots + h_p$ obtains is when $p = t$ and $h_1 = \cdots = h_t = 2$. Hence $h_1 + \cdots + h_p \leq 2t$. For a fixed t the largest value s can have is $k - (t + 1)$. So $2(k - s) \geq 2t + 2$.

So upon setting $y = 1$ in the above list of equations and equating coefficients we can conclude that the following expressions are 0:

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_q) \quad & (-1)^u \binom{2k}{u} \cdots \binom{h_p + q}{u} q(q - 1) \cdots 1 \\
 & + (-1)^{u+1} \binom{2k}{u+1} \cdots \binom{h_p + q + 1}{h_p} (q + 1)q \cdots 2 + \cdots \\
 \\
 e_{q+1}) \quad & (-1)^{u+1} \binom{2k}{u+1} \cdots \binom{h_p + q + 1}{h_p} (q + 1)q \cdots 1 \\
 & + (-1)^{u+2} \binom{2k}{u+2} \cdots \binom{h_p + q + 2}{h_p} (q + 2) \cdots 2 + \cdots \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \dots \\
 \\
 e_{2s}) \quad & (-1)^{H+2s} \binom{2k}{H+2s} \cdots \binom{h_p + 2s}{h_p} (2s)(2s - 1) \cdots 1 \\
 & + (-1)^{H+2s+1} \binom{2k}{H+2s+1} \cdots \binom{h_p + 2s + 1}{h_p} (2s + 1) \cdots 2 + \cdots
 \end{aligned}$$

We need to see that there are constants a_q, \dots, a_{2s} such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_s(x + (s - q) + (u - r)) = a_q x(x - 1) \cdots (x - (q - 1)) + \cdots \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad + a_{2s} x(x - 1) \cdots (x - (2s - 1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $q = 0$, there is no problem since we are allowed $1, x, \dots, x(x - 1), \dots, (x - (2s - 1))$ in our expansion of f_s . If $q > 0$, then $u = r$. We will be able to find

a_q, \dots, a_{2s} from the Prelemma if we establish $s - q \geq -1$. The largest value of q will occur when our partition is

$$r = \underbrace{r_0 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{r-t}$$

where $r_0 = 1$ if $t = 0$ and $r_0 > 1$ if $t > 0$. So $q = r$ for $t = 0$ and $q = r - t - 1$ for $t > 0$. Now $s - q = r - (t + 1) - q$. For $t = 0$, $s - q \geq r - 1 - r = -1$. For $t > 0$, $s - q \geq r - (t + 1) - (r - t - 1) = 0$.

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2 since the left hand side of (2) is

$$a_q e_q + \dots + a_{2s} e_{2s} = 0 + 0 + \dots + 0$$

and (1) is a linear combination of expressions of the form (2).

LEMMA 3.

$$E \left(\sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x \neq 0}} \left(m(x) - \frac{\alpha n}{4} \right)^{2k} \right) \leq K n^{k+1}$$

(K depends only on k).

PROOF. This is a calculation using Lemmas 1, 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM II. We must make a computation. Let $\beta = 1/n$. Using the argument of Lemma 1 we have

$$E \left(\left(m(0) - \beta \frac{n^2}{4} \right)^2 \right) = \beta \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \frac{1}{n-3} + \beta \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^2 - \beta^2 \left(\frac{n^2}{4} \right)^2 = O(n).$$

The Theorem now follows from this computation and Lemma 3.

REFERENCE

1. P. Erdős and A. Rényi, *Probabilistic methods in group theory*, J. Analyse Math. **14** (1965), 127-138.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE
REALTANODA U. 13-15
BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KY 40506 USA